

**Regents Committee Meeting Minutes, Spring 2014**  
Savannah, GA                      February 25, 2013                      Chair Glen Brewster

## **Agenda**

- 1) Review Outstanding Chapter Award process and proposed revision to application. We discussed the potential need for a rubric to guide the Regents in making decisions about the Outstanding Chapter Awards, now overseen by the Regent Committee for the first time in 2013-2014 rather than by a separate committee. Bartlett volunteered to compile a list of significant elements that might be considered and to circulate it later in the year. For now, the committee agreed to make the following change to the application for 2014-2015:  
**From Regents Committee Report -- Recommendations or resolutions for the Executive Committee and/or for Board action:**  
“Move to revise Outstanding Chapter Award application to include question about the number of active members in the chapter. This motion came about because there has been ongoing discussion among the evaluators for this award about how to value the achievements of a small chapter in comparison to those of a larger chapter. This information will give us another relevant aspect of the work of a chapter.”
- 2) Regent transitions. Brewster thanked outgoing Regents Roger Stanley and John Kerrigan for their considerable and varied work over the years; he also pointed out the need to share materials and information related to their work with the incoming Regents to be elected on Friday.
- 3) We reviewed the Sponsors Workshops to be held Thursday morning—one for first-time sponsors and the second a general workshop for all chapter sponsors.
- 4) We reviewed the most recent draft of the “Regents Handbook” that Brewster recently revised and sent electronically. Brewster asked for suggestions for further additions and clarifications and noted that he would give copies to the incoming Regents and ask the Central Office to post it on the website for future use. He agreed to make an immediate addition to the section on “Executive Board Meetings.”
- 5) Issue of evaluation of Regents (“Sigma Tau Delta Guidelines and Procedures—Draft” document). Brewster noted that he assumed that “evaluation” of all Board members would be part of the ongoing Board Meeting discussions but hoped that there would be no repeat of the negative “informal evaluation” letters sent to the Regents just prior to the spring 2013 Board Meeting.
- 6) After the formal Regents Committee meeting, we held a joint meeting with Student Leadership—During the meeting we discussed the recent change in practice whereby the Student Representatives would not attend the general Board Meeting on Thursday but would instead help with registration for the convention. The Regents and the Student Leadership agreed to review this arrangement after the convention to see if the practice should continue or if it would be best to revert to prior practice.

(Revised March 11, 2014)